UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/711,731	09/30/2004	Ricky Gene Braddy	2006579-0254 (CTX-123)	5730
CHOATE, HALL & STEWART / CITRIX SYSTEMS, INC. TWO INTERNATIONAL PLACE			EXAMINER	
			LANIER, BENJAMIN E	
BOSTON, MA 02110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2432	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/21/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/711,731	BRADDY ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
BENJAMIN E. LANIER	2432	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on	the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 19 December 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
	: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the h appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that	Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706.07(f).	• •
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorteneset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee ed statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance	with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of
	nereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but pric	or to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further considera	
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
<ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresp	onding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See	e attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	e if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided b. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and suffic was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	e or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered ient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and we have a sufficient reasons.	ne <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	e status of the claims after entry is below or attached.
11. 🛛 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does See Continuation Sheet.	NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (PTO/S</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	SB/08) Paper No(s)
_	
	/Benjamin E Lanier/
	Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2432

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues, "The user component is used for user interface generation (Underwood, Col. 58, lines 1-5)." This argument is not persuasive because Underwood specifies that cited section refers to "another embodiment". Examiner is relying on the Underwood (Col. 57, lines 59-67), which specifies that a user's request for web sources are granted/denied based upon data gathered by scripts that include browser information (Col. 59, lines 45-46)..